

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/992,022	11/26/2001	Takayuki Sugahara	041-179028	041-179028 .1235		
7590 03/25/2004			EXAM	EXAMINER		
Israel Gopstein CLARK & BRODY			LEE, Y YOUNG			
Suite 600	ז <i>ע</i> נ	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
1750 K Street N		2613	5			
WASHINGTON, DC 20006			DATE MAILED: 03/25/2004	4		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/992,0	09/992,022 SUGAHARA, TAKAYUKI		JKI			
		Examine	r	Art Unit				
		Y. Lee		2613				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicator Reply	ation appears on th	e cover sheet with th	e correspondence addres	is			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Faild Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THE PROVISION OF THE COMMUNICATION OF THE COMMUNICAT	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evication. days, a reply within the sta cory period will apply and v I, by statute, cause the app	vent, however, may a reply be tutory minimum of thirty (30) vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr plication to become ABANDC	e timely filed days will be considered timely. rom the mailing date of this commu DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	inication.			
Status	•							
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed	on						
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b))⊠ This action is r	non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠ 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the appli 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from co	-	-				
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[🛛	The specification is objected to by the E	Examiner.						
	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection		•					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including th	e correction is requi	red if the drawing(s) is	objected to. See 37 CFR 1	.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to b	y the Examiner. N	ote the attached Offi	ice Action or form PTO-1	52.			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do 2. Certified copies of the priority do 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internationa	ocuments have been been been been the priority documents Bureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in Applic ents have been rece le 17.2(a)).	eation No. <u>08/391,861</u> . eived in this National Stag	ge			
Attachmer	ut(s)							
1) 🔲 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summa					
3) 🔯 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT Proofs)/Mail Date 2.		Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	l Date al Patent Application (PTO-152	2)			

Application/Control Number: 09/992,022

Art Unit: 2613

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

- 1. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d). The certified copy has been filed in parent Application No. 08/391,861, filed on 2/22/95. **Specification**
- 2. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 5. Claim 1 recites the limitation "the most severe value" in line 23. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Double Patenting

6. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Application/Control Number: 09/992,022

Art Unit: 2613

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

7. Claims 1-5 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-6 of U.S. Patent No. 6,212,329 B1 and its 35 continuations. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because agreement was reached during the interview on 5/21/03 that the continuations are obvious variations of each other.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Y. Lee whose telephone number is (703) 308-7584. The examiner can normally be reached on (703) 308-7584.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Kelley can be reached on (703) 305-4856. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Y. Lee

Application/Control Number: 09/992,022

Art Unit: 2613

Page 4

Primary Examiner Art Unit 2613

yl